

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,613	02/16/2005	Keisuke Kabashima	5259-047/NP	1549
27572 HARNESS D	7590 06/09/200 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.I		EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 828			MIRZA, A	ADNAN M
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/524,613	KABASHIMA ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ADNAN MIRZA	2445	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 29 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:

- The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
    - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

|--|

- 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
  - NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-36.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 04/29/2009
- 13. Other:

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims 2 and 3 are rejected under the same manner as to Office action August 14 2008. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, "The store switching information which is used by each router apparatus positioned along a transfer path of a packet". As to applicant's argument Bandinelli disclosed, "Alternatively, the disk image may be loaded onto a communication device, such as router, switch, a bridge, enabling them to participate in one or more virtual private networks established over the internet (col. 27, lines 27-30). As one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows well that all the config information is being communicated betwee different communication devices such as router and switch. Applicant argued that Bendinelli merely disclosed, "Switching information calculation". As to applicant's argument Bendinelli disclosed, With a TCP tunnel established, the network operations center 610 may provide the gateway daemon with an IP address Permitting the first gateway 650 to route, for example, traffic associated with controlling a gateway throung the TCP tunnel to the networl operations center 610 and the tunnel interface module 612. THe first gateway may then communicate directly with the tunnel interface module. One ordinary skill in the art understands that network operation centre store the routing and the switching information where this information is redistributed and added by using the routing and switching algorithym such as store and forward switching and dynamic routing protocols like rip used to create internal routing table among different gateways (eg. routers and switch). Applicant argued that Bendinelli merely disclosed, "core router apparatus that recieves and transfers a packet to which the switching information has been affixed for switching the packet". As to applicant's argument one ordinary skill in the art knows that in Bendinelli that router and switch has been used as gateways to communicate the packet using the routing and switching information stored internally with the router and switch.